UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

IN RE: ZANTAC (RANITIDINE)
PRODUCTS LIABILITY
LITIGATION

MDL NO 2924 20-MD-2924

JUDGE ROBIN L ROSENBERG MAGISTRATE JUDGE BRUCE REINHART

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
James Wayne Hucks	

SHORT-FORM COMPLAINT – VERSION 2

The Plaintiff(s) named below, by counsel, file(s) this Short Form Complaint against Defendants named below. Plaintiff(s) incorporate(s) by reference the allegations contained in the Amended Master Personal Injury Complaint ("AMPIC") in *In re: Zantac (Ranitidine) Products Lability Litigation*, MDL No. 2924 (S.D. Fla). Plaintiff(s) file(s) this Short-Form Complaint – Version 2 as permitted by Pretrial Order No. 31 and as modified by the Court's Orders regarding motions to dismiss [DE 2532, 2512, 2513, 2515, and 2016].

Plaintiff(s) select(s) and indicate(s) by completing where requested, the Parties and Causes of Actions specific to this case. Where certain claims require additional pleading or case specific facts and individual information, Plaintiff(s) shall add and include them herein.

Plaintiff(s), by counsel, allege as follows:

I. PARTIES, JURISDICTION, AND VENUE

A. PLAINTIFF(S)

1. Plaintiff(s) <u>James Wayne Hucks</u> ("Plaintiff(s)") brings this action (check the applicable designation):

\boxtimes	On behalf of [himself/herself]]
-------------	--------------------------------	---

	In representative capacity as the, on behalf of the injured party, (Injured Party's Name)
2.	Injured Party is currently a resident and citizen of (City, State) <u>Conway, South Carolina</u> , and claims damages as set forth below.
	—OR—
	Decedent died on (Month, Day, Year) At the time of Decedent's death, Decedent was a resident and citizen of (City, State)
If any party	claims loss of consortium,
3.	consortium. ("Consortium Plaintiff") alleges damages for loss of
4.	At the time of the filing of this Short Form Complaint, Consortium Plaintiff is a citizen and resident of (City, State)
5.	At the time the alleged injury occurred, Consortium Plaintiff resided in (City, State)
B. DEF	TENDANT(S)
6.	Plaintiff(s) name(s) the following Defendants from the Amended Master Personal Injury Complaint in this action:
	 a. Brand-Name Manufacturers: 1) Glaxo Smith Klein; 2) Patheon Manufacturing Service, LLC 3) Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc.; 4) Sanofi U.S. Services Inc. 5) Chattem, Inc.
	b. Generic Manufacturers:
	c. Distributors and Repackager:
	d Ratailars:

	Δa	TAT 4	TAT T	• 41	AMPIC:
Δ	()there	Not	Named	in the	
·-	Ouicis	1101	Tameu	III UIC	AIVII IC.

C. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

7. Identify the Federal District Court in which Plaintiff(s) would have filed this action in the absence of Pretrial Order No. 11 (direct filing) [or, if applicable, the District Court to which their original action was removed]:

Alabama Northern District Court.

8. Jurisdiction is proper upon diversity of citizenship.

II. PRODUCT USE

9.	The Injured I	Party used Zantac and/or generic ranitidine: [Check all that apply]
		By prescription
		Over the counter
10.	3	Party used Zantac and/or generic ranitidine from approximately February, 2007 toSeptember, 2017

III. PHYSICAL INJURY

11. As a result of the Injured Party's use of the medications specified above, [he/she] was diagnosed with the following specific type of cancer (check all that apply):

Check all	Cancer Type	Approximate Date of
that		Diagnosis
apply		
\boxtimes	BLADDER CANCER	01/01/2018
	BREAST CANCER	
	COLORECTAL/INTESTINAL CANCER	
	ESOPHAGEAL CANCER	
	GASTRIC CANCER	

KIDNEY CANCER	
LIVER CANCER	
LUNG CANCER	
PANCREATIC CANCER	
PROSTATE CANCER	
OTHER CANCER:	
DEATH (CAUSED BY CANCER)	

12. Defendants, by their actions or inactions, proximately caused the injuries to Plaintiff(s).

IV. CAUSES OF ACTION ASSERTED

- 13. The following Causes of Action asserted in the Amended Master Personal Injury Complaint are asserted against the specified defendants in each class of Defendants enumerated therein, and the allegations with regard thereto are adopted in this Short Form Complaint by reference.
- 14. By checking the appropriate causes of action below, Plaintiff(s) assert these causes of action based upon the law and applicable Sub-Counts of the following state(s):¹

South Carolina

Check all that apply	Count	Cause of Action	States for which the cause of action was asserted in the AMPIC
	I	Strict Products Liability – Failure to Warn through Warnings and Precautions (Against Brand-Name Manufacturer Defendants)	All States and Territories, Except DE, IA, MA, NC, PA, and VA
	II	Negligence – Failure to Warn through Warnings and Precautions (Against Brand-Name Manufacturer Defendants)	All States and Territories, Except LA, NJ, OH, and WA
	III	Strict Products Liability – Failure to Warn through Proper Expiration Dates (Against Brand-Name and Generic Manufacturer Defendants)	All States and Territories, Except DE, IA, MA, NC, PA, and VA
	IV	Negligence – Failure to Warn through Proper Expiration Dates (Against Brand-Name and Generic Manufacturer Defendants)	All States and Territories, Except

¹ In selecting the relevant states above, Plaintiffs reserve all rights to argue choice of law issues at a later time.

Chook	Count	Cause of Action	States for which
Check all that	Count	Cause of Action	States for which the cause of action
			was asserted in
apply			the AMPIC
			LA, NJ, OH, OK,
			and WA
	V	Negligence - Failure to Warn Consumers through	CA, DE, DC, HI,
	v	the FDA (Against Brand-Name and Generic	IN, KY, LA, MD,
		Manufacturer Defendants)	MA, MN, MO,
		Wandracturer Defendants)	NV, NY, OR, and
			PA
	VI	Strict Products Liability – Design Defect Due to	All States and
	V 1	Warnings and Precautions (Against Brand-Name	Territories, Except
		Manufacturer Defendants)	DE, IA, MA, NC,
		Wallatactarer Defendants)	PA, and VA
	VII	Strict Products Liability – Design Defect Due to	All States and
	,	Improper Expiration Dates (Against Brand-Name	Territories, Except
		and Generic Manufacturer Defendants)	DE, IA, MA, NC,
		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	PA, and VA
	VIII	Negligent Failure to Test (Against Brand-Name	KS, TX
		and Generic Manufacturer Defendants)	,
	IX	Negligent Product Containers: (Against Brand-	All States and
		Name and Generic Manufacturers of pills)	Territories
	X	Negligent Storage and Transportation Outside the	All States and
		Labeled Range (Against All Retailer and	Territories
		Distributor Defendants)	
	XI	Negligent Storage and Transportation Outside the	All States and
		Labeled Range (Against All Brand-Name and	Territories
		Generic Manufacturer Defendants)	
	XII	Negligent Misrepresentation (Against Brand-Name	CA only
		Manufacturers by Generic Consumers in	
		California)	
	XIII	Reckless Misrepresentation (Against Brand-Name	MA only
		Manufacturers by Generic Consumers in	
		Massachusetts)	
	XIV	Unjust Enrichment (Against All Defendants)	All States and
			Territories
	XV	Loss of Consortium (Against All Defendants)	All States and
			Territories
	XVI	Wrongful Death (Against All Defendants)	All States and
			Territories

If Count XV or Count XVI is alleged, additional facts supporting the claim	(s):

V. JURY DEMAND

15. Plaintiff(s) hereby demand(s) a trial by jury as to all claims in this action.

VI. PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff(s) has/have been damaged as a result of Defendants' actions or inactions and demand(s) judgment against Defendants on each of the above-referenced causes of action, jointly and severally to the full extent available in law or equity, as requested in the Amended Master Personal Injury Complaint.

September 6, 2022

/s/ Christopher LoPalo

Christopher LoPalo NAPOLI SHKOLNIK, PLLC 360 Lexington Avenue, Suite 1101 New York, NY 10017

Tel: (212) 397-0000 Fax: (646) 843-7603 CLoPalo@napolilaw.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff Counsel for Plaintiff(s)